

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,277	05/29/2001	Lars Peter Klitmose	P20000067	5508	
23650	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER		
NOVO NORDISK PHARMACEUTICALS, INC			RAMANA, A	RAMANA, ANURADHA	
	100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST PRINCETON, NJ 08540		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\star			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/867,277	KLITMOSE, LARS PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anu Ramana	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a largely within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2004.				
•	- '''				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E), 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 39-44 is/are pending in the applica	☑ Claim(s) <u>39-44</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-42 and 44</u> is/are rejected.	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>43</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
The ball of declaration is objected to by the	Examinor: Note the attacks				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
		Application No			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/867,277

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application. Applicant's submission filed on June 22, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 44 appears to claim both an apparatus and a process. A single claim which claims both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention embraces both product or machine and process and is precluded by the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which sets forth statutory classes of the invention in the alternative only. Claims that embrace both product or machine and process are precluded by the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, wherein statutory classes of the invention are set forth in the alternative only. For the purposes of examination the claim is being interpreted as an apparatus claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,277

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 39-40, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US 6,066,243).

Anderson et al. disclose a portable or "hand-held" medical apparatus 10 including a housing or "basis module" 12 having a central processing unit (CPU) 70, a power supply 22, first and second replaceable modules 16 and 33 and a touch screen interactive display or "input mechanism and display" 18 (Figs. 1a, 1b, 2, col. 5, lines 65-67, col. 6, lines 1-44 and lines 59-67, col. 7, lines 1-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (US 6,066,243), as applied to claim 39, in view of Walker et al. (US 5,651,775).

Anderson et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except that apparatus 10 is configured for limited access to some operations based on user identification.

Walker et al. teach controlled access to a device through a keypad 170 by entry of an identification code (col. 12, lines 60-67 and col. 13, lines 1-3).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a user-specific identification code for the Anderson et al. device, as taught by Walker et al., for controlled access.

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 43 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on June 22, 2004, under "REMARKS," have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection made in this office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

uadle lanare

August 22, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700